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George Clooney and *Up in the Air* have a lot to tell the IRS about the downside of reducing face-to-face contact. Efficiency and savings are often illusory when it comes to computerizing and centralizing businesses like the IRS that perform services.

After the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998, the IRS began to reduce the face-to-face contact its employees had with taxpayers. Why it did this — whether because of the restructuring by IRS Commissioner Charles Rossotti, Congress’s resistance to giving the IRS more money to do its expanded job, or the desire to get faster results — is a source of debate. Whatever the reason, the result was clearly a reduction in human contact with taxpayers.

The first area in which human contact was reduced was the audit of individual income tax returns, especially returns of low- and lower-middle-income taxpayers. The IRS decided to eliminate the office audit of ‘simple’ individual returns and audit them by correspondence. Taxpayers, especially those who claimed the benefits Congress enacted to help working taxpayers (the earned income tax credit and the child tax credit), were contacted by a letter from a faceless and sometimes nameless IRS employee. To speed up the audit, the first letter (the so-called combo letter) might not only inform the taxpayer of what items were being audited, but also advise him of the proposed change in tax that would result from disallowance of the items.

The next area to undergo this dehumanization was the offer in compromise program. The IRS reasoned that centralization of the process in Brookhaven, N.Y., would mean more efficient and consistent results. So a small business that otherwise would have met with a revenue officer who lived in the same area and who may well have known about the company and the local business climate was forced to send its OIC to the Brookhaven campus. There, relatively novice IRS employees relied on Internet databases to value assets and on the black ink on a page to determine the taxpayer’s ability to pay.

The third area, and perhaps the one that experienced the greatest reduction in face-to-face meetings, was the IRS Appeals function. Based on the assumption that most hearings, especially collection due process hearings, could be effectively handled by telephone conferences, Appeals offices were established in some IRS campuses. Employees there would talk with taxpayers by telephone — never having a chance to assess body language, view tears, or see if the taxpayer understood — and make a determination that for most taxpayers meant the continuation of a proposed levy.

Of course, practitioners and the national taxpayer advocate have criticized all three of these moves. They are on the front lines, holding the hands of distraught taxpayers on the verge of financial disaster. In fact, in her most recent report, the national taxpayer advocate recommended that the IRS develop an in-house cognitive research lab to understand taxpayer behavior and develop more effective products and programs.1 (While we may never know what triggered Joseph Stack to fly his plane into the Austin, Texas, IRS offices, determining if taxpayers turn to tax protestor groups because they feel isolated from the IRS may help defuse extreme actions.)

The IRS should take direction from the recent movie *Up in the Air* and rethink the reduction in human contact with its targets: taxpayers.

*Up in the Air* is a story about a company that euphemistically provides ‘career transition’ counseling to employees who are fired. The company is hired by businesses that have decided to fire employees or reduce their workforce, but do not want the messiness or potential physical harm of doing it with their own human resources departments. George Clooney plays Ryan Bingham, a seasoned ‘counselor’ who patiently listens to the anger and threats of the person across the table as he delivers the bad news and then, with grace and persuasiveness, convinces the employee that he should see this as an opportunity. In one scene, a middle manager, played by J.K. Simmons, is deftly guided by Bingham to remember that he had skills as a French cuisine chef and that he now has the time and reason to turn that skill into a new career. Although the prospect of racking up 10 million frequent-flier miles and being inducted into an elite club motivates Bingham to continue his job through face-to-face meetings with the unfortunate workers, he also sincerely (and I believe correctly) thinks that life-altering and emotionally devastating news should be delivered in person.

Along comes an HR expert fresh out of college, Natalie, played by Anna Kendrick. As one reviewer put it, “She’s a monster for our times: a presumed human-resources expert who, having come of age in front of a
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A. Introduction

The complexity of the tax code grows every year as new laws are introduced and existing ones are amended, often with social or public policy objectives in mind. In considering the value of proposed legislation, lawmakers tend to focus on a bill’s effectiveness for meeting policy objectives without considering the broader issue of whether the provision is moving our tax system toward or away from a better tax system. To prevent the tax system from becoming unmanageable, policymakers must consider the broad impact of each legislative change. This analysis requires policymakers to use a kind of framework for evaluating legislative proposals in terms of how well they support the desirable attributes of tax systems. We will describe the various frameworks that have been proposed and condense the similar criteria presented in each framework into six fundamental attributes of good tax policy.

The first-time home buyer credit is one example of recent tax legislation that is adversely transforming our current tax system. After explaining the provisions of the first-time home buyer credit, this article will analyze whether the credit meets six criteria of desirable tax policy.

B. Desirable Attributes for Tax Systems

In his 1776 book, Adam Smith was perhaps the first to describe the desirable attributes of a tax system. The four attributes he outlined were equality, certainty, convenience of payment, and economy in collection. More recently, other lists of attributes have been developed. The annual report of the Council of
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