

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN RE MATTER OF THE JULY 2020
NEVADA BAR EXAMINATION

ADKT 0558

FILED

MAY 07 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER INVITING PUBLIC COMMENT

On May 7, 2020, Chief Justice Kristina Pickering and Associate Chief Justice Mark Gibbons filed a petition recommending that the Court modify the format of the July 2020 Nevada Bar Examination as proposed by the Nevada Board of Bar Examiners in Exhibit A. The court is inclined to approve the proposal.

The Nevada Supreme Court invites written comment from the bench, bar, and public regarding the proposed modified format of the bar examination for the July 2020 examination. The Clerk of the Court shall publish notice of this ADKT and its comment period on the Nevada Supreme Court's website, to the UNLV Boyd School of Law, and through the State and County Bar Associations. Comments may be submitted to: Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Supreme Court, 201 South Carson Street, Carson

City, Nevada 89701 by 5:00 p.m., May 14, 2020. Comments may be submitted to the court in hard copy or electronically to nvscclerk@nvcourts.nv.gov.

Dated this 7th May, 2020.

Pickering, C.J.
Pickering

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Stiglich, J.
Stiglich

Cadish, J.
Cadish

Silver, J.
Silver

cc: Daniel W. Hamilton, Dean, UNLV William S. Boyd School of Law
Paul Matteoni, President, State Bar of Nevada
Kimberly Farmer, Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Richard Trachok, Chair, Nevada Board of Bar Examiners
All District Court Judges
Clark County Bar Association
Washoe County Bar Association
First Judicial District Bar Association
Elko County Bar Association
Douglas County Bar Association
Administrative Office of the Courts

EXHIBIT A

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

**BOARD OF BAR
EXAMINERS**

MEMO

Jurisdictions across the United States are confronting the question of whether or not to hold the July 2020 bar exam given the restraints created by the corona virus pandemic. Some have cancelled the July exam and are planning to hold the exam in September or October. Most jurisdictions are still trying to administer the bar exam in July but recognize the uncertainty due to state social distancing orders. The National Conference of Bar Examiners (NCBE) has agreed to schedule the UBE and for non-UBE states, the MBE, on September 9th and another unannounced date in October.

Primary considerations for holding the exam include space concerns, social distancing requirements and testing limitations mandated by the National Conference of Bar Examiners (NCBE). There is no question that safety of test takers and exam administrators is paramount. A majority of jurisdictions administer the Uniform Bar Exam, which limits their options based on the NCBE testing requirements.

Nevada's options are: 1) cancelling the exam and affording a diploma privilege to all ABA law school graduates; 2) postponing the July exam and rescheduling to match the dates offered by the NCBE; or 3) holding an online Nevada Bar Exam in July online as proposed by the Board.

We would propose that Nevada offer the exam in July in the following format:

1. The exam be comprised of 8 Nevada essay questions and a Nevada MPT question drafted by the Board.
2. The exam would be offered online, save and except for those requiring special accommodations or for those who handwrite the exam. These accommodations would be held under strict health guidelines so no examinee or proctor would be exposed to the virus.
3. The two-day exam would be administered online using ILG Exam 360 testing program on the following schedule:
 - 1st Session: 3-hour session for 3 essays
 - 2nd Session: 3-hour session for 3 essays
 - 3rd Session: 2-hour session for 2 essays
 - 4th Session: 2-hour session for Nevada MPT

The MBE will not be administered for the July 2020 bar exam.

Since there is no way to prevent a test taker from accessing outside materials during each session, the exam would be open book. As a practical matter this would be relatively meaningless since an applicant who does not know the material would not have the time to search for answers to the question in the hour allocated.

The Board has consulted with our psychometrician, Dr. Roger Bolus, to address concerns regarding the reliability of the Nevada exam in the absence of the MBE. The Board will continue its consultation with Dr. Bolus and will take all reasonable measures to address the reliability of an essay only exam.

As we have discussed in the past with the Court, the essay portion is the more valid measure of minimum competence, something the MBE lacks. More important, under the proposed format Nevada will be testing knowledge, analytical ability, and writing skills, all which are accepted measures of attorney competence. In addition, the open-book component also incorporates what we as lawyers do every day: look up the applicable law. If a test taker has not studied and/or does not know the law the open book format provides little comfort with the strict time limitations of 60 minutes per question. The following are the proposals we are discussing for grading the exam to address reliability concerns:

1. Adopt a uniform grading scale and determine scoring criteria for each grade.
2. Use compensatory grading system – cumulative total of all scores.
3. Require a passing score on a determined number of exam questions at or above the basic competence level.
4. Each exam to be graded by two independent graders with review by a third grader if scores of main graders are divergent by a predetermined amount.
5. Establish process for arbitration of divergent scores.
6. Monitor grading as it progresses, which would allow for corrective action if divergence of scores is excessive.
7. Establish a band for answers warranting reappraisal/regrade.


For security reasons, applicants will be required to check in with a proctor before the start of each session so the identification of each applicant can be verified and receive instructions for the next session. The Board is continuing to work on the security aspect of the exam and will keep the Court apprised of our progress in real time. Accommodations in small group settings will be provided for applicants that lack the technology to take the exam under the security guidelines.

Graduating law school students understandably are experiencing psychological and emotional stress with preparing for and taking a bar exam. Requiring any applicant to take an exam under these circumstances or else forfeit the amount paid to apply for the exam would be unfair. We propose allowing applicants for the July 2020 bar exam not desiring to take the exam to defer their payments to one of the next two bar examinations.

The proposed bar exam format would require relief from the following Supreme Court Rules:

- Rule 54(4) – Refunds
- Rule 65 – Exam Requirements
- Rule 69(1) – Passing Grade
- Addendum, Para. 14 – Fees
- Addendum, Para. 32 – Dates and Location of Exam
- Addendum, Para. 33 – Composition of Exam
- Addendum, Para. 36 – Grading

The Board is convinced that this proposal is the best way to move forward in these uncertain times. We will continue to fine tune the security measures and the reliability issues. It is quite possible that the data generated from the results of this new format will be useful for the Court and the Board as we continue to find a more meaningful way to measure minimum competence to practice law in Nevada.



Richard M. Trachok, Esq.
Chair- Board of Bar Examiners