

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
315 PACIFIC AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

1 MARK S. POSARD, Bar No. 208790
mposard@grsm.com
2 RENE I. GAMBOA, Bar No. 136166
rgamboa@grsm.com
3 ROBERT J. FLEMING, III, Bar No. 335725
rfleming@grsm.com
4 GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
315 Pacific Avenue
5 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-5900
6 Facsimile: (415) 986-8054
7 Attorneys for Defendant
GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY
8

F I L E D
Superior Court of California
County of San Francisco

JUL 30 2024

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

12 STEPHEN DOWNS, NATHAN LIMCOLIOC;
YVONNE ULIBARRI; DANIELLE
13 MORVAN; GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY
ALUMNI ASSOCIATION,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 DAVID J. FIKE; GOLDEN GATE
UNIVERSITY, a California non-profit
17 organization; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

18 Defendants.
19

Case No. CGC-24-612353

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Date: July 30, 2024

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept.: 302

20
21 On July 30, 2024, at 9:30 a.m., the Motion for Injunctive Relief filed by plaintiffs
22 STEPHEN DOWNS, NATHAN LIMCOLIOC; YVONNE ULIBARRI; DANIELLE MORVAN;
23 GOLDEN GATE UNIVERSITY ALUMNI ASSOCIATION ("Plaintiffs") came on for regularly
24 for hearing in the above-entitled court.

25 After consideration of the moving, opposing, and reply papers filed by the parties, all papers
26 filed in connection thereto, having heard the oral argument of the parties, and good cause appearing,
27 the Court rules as follows:

28 ///

GORDON KEES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
315 PACIFIC AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

As their complaint states (16:14-15), plaintiffs' claims' likelihood of success is the first consideration on a preliminary injunction motion. Given today's results on defendant's demurrers, it is not yet known what those claims may be, so plaintiffs' motion must be denied without prejudice.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Injunctive Relief is **DENIED** without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/30/24

Ulmer
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
RICHARD B. ULMER